

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/053,430	10/22/2001	Martin L. Schulman	JPP-1210CIP2 7043		
7590 01/02/2004			EXAMINER		
ANN M. KNAB, ESQ. 53 NORTH PLAINS INDUSTRIAL ROAD			TENTONI, LEO B		
	RD, CT 06492	D	ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1732		

DATE MAILED: 01/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/053,430	)	SCHULMAN ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Leo B. Ten		1732				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply seciled above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by size exply received by the Office later than three months after the misd patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the statut od will apply and will tute, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tin ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communi D (35 U.S.C. & 133).	cation.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	3 October 2003						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nis action is nor						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims	•						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-14</u> is/are withd Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from cons						
	on Papers	aror election rec	quirement.					
	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a		objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr							
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	2.			
	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
a)[ * S 13)⊠ A si 33 a) 14)⊠ A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some *c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burniete the attached detailed Office action for a licknowledgment is made of a claim for dome or a specific reference was included in the CFR 1.78.  1. The translation of the foreign language incknowledgment is made of a claim for dome or convey the c	ents have been this have been this have been this have been the control of the certification	received. received in Application ts have been received 17.2(a)). ed copies not receive ter 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of the specification or lication has been receive ter 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No  d in this National Stage  d. e) (to a provisional appli in an Application Data  eived. and/or 121 since a spe	cation) Sheet. cific			
re Attachment	ference was included in the first sentence of (s)	the specification	on or in an Applicatio	n Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1	.78.			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5	Interview Summary     Notice of Informal Pi   Other: .	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Tr TOL-326 (Re		Action Summary		Part of Paper No. 122	22003			

Application/Control Number: 10/053,430 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

### DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Group I, species A, claims 1-9 in the response submitted on 28 October 2003 is acknowledged.

  Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 10-14 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the response submitted on 28 October 2003.

### Specification

- 3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because in line 3, "comprises" should be - includes - (legal or claim-type phraseology should not be used in the abstract). Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

Art Unit: 1732

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 1, the status of all of the patent applications should be updated, including patent number.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claim 9 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 17 of copending Application No. 09/946,413. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because making a shell by rapid prototyping would have been obvious to one of

Application/Control Number: 10/053,430

Art Unit: 1732

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made principally in order to increase the processing speed.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 9. Claims 1-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Panzera et al (U.S. Patent 6,354,836 B1).

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Art Unit: 1732

Panzera et al (see the entire document, in particular, col. 3, line 34 to col. 6, line 33 and Figure 1) teach a process of making a dental restoration including the steps of forming a die, forming a coping from green ceramic material, placing the coping on the die and fully sintering the coping.

### Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leo B. Tentoni whose telephone number is (571) 272-1209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30 A.M. - 3:00 P.M.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P. Colaianni can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Leo B. Tentoni

Application/Control Number: 10/053,430

Art Unit: 1732

Page 6

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

lbt